

<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
09/773,387	SWAINE ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
J. Derek Rutten	2192	

**Status of Application:** *Allowed*

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time: 9:45**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

**Claims discussed:**

**13 and 14**

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*n/a*

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Sought approval for amending a typo in claim 14. Mr. Lastova agreed to change and occurrence of the word "the" to --then-- in the last clause of the claim. In a subsequent interview on 3/16/06, it was agreed to amend claim 13 (modify "computer program product" to be encoded on a recordable medium) to avoid possible 101 issues...